

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/945,187	09/945,187 08/31/2001		Ken Ostrom	35706.2300	8503	
34398	7590	11/02/2005		EXAMINER		
PRIMARIO 2507 WEST		DRIVE	PATEL, RAJNIKANT B			
TEMPE, AZ 85282				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•				4040		

DATE MAILED: 11/02/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commence	09/945,187	OSTROM ET AL.	(m)			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Rajnikant B. Patel	2838				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addr	ess			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this comr D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.			
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 S</u>	September 2005.					
	s action is non-final.					
 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i>, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. 						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 13-45 and 52-54 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrays 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 13-45 and 52-54 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			4 4047-15			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicati crity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National St	age			
Attachment(s)						
Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da		52)			

Application/Control Number: 09/945,187 Page 2

Art Unit: 2838

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) The invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 13-17,19,21-24,26,33, 40 and 42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Poon et al. (U.S. patent # 5,838,145).

Poon et al. disclose the claimed subject matters a power regulator (figure 1,2a-b and 3-5), including a negative transient response portion and positive transient response portion (figure 4, item 306a-b and column 5, line 25-66), an amplifier (figure 4, item 309a-b), a negative response portion, a current source and the sense transistor (figure 4, item 315 and 306b), a bipolar transistor (figure 4, item 306a-b) positive and negative (column 6, line 15-60).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a

person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 18,20,25,27,28-32,41,43-45 and 52-54 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Poon et al. (U.S. patent # 5,838,145) in combination with Harman (U.S. Patent # 5,559,423).

Poon et al. disclose the claimed subject matters as explained in the claims 13-17,19,21-24,26,33, 40 and 42, above, except the utilization of the technique for a metal oxide semiconductor device, transient events that occur at a rate greater than 10 Megahertz and a transconductance stage. Harman teaches the utilization of similar technique for a metal oxide semiconductor device, transient events that occur at a rate greater than 10 Megahertz and a transconductance stage (figure 2, item 26, 46 and 54 and column 6, line 20-40). It would have been obvious one having an ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to modify Poon et al.'s voltage regulator by utilizing the technique taught by Harman for the purpose of improve the efficiency of the voltage regulator.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rajnikant B. Patel whose telephone number is 571-272-2082. The examiner can normally be reached on 6.30-5.00; m-f.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Sherry can be reached on 571-272-2084. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-283-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/945,187

Art Unit: 2838

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Rajnikant B Patel Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2838
